X-822



OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Operated by

UNION CARBIDE NUCLEAR COMPANY

Division of Union Carbide Corporation

ucc

Post Office Box X Oak Ridge, Tennessee For Internal Use Only

ORNL
CENTRAL FILES NUMBER

59-12-38

COPY NO. 30

DATE:

December 9, 1959

SUBJECT:

Calculation of the Diffusion Coefficient of

Pertechnetate and Perrhenate Ions from Con-

ductivity Measurements

TO:

Those Listed

FROM:

P. F. Thomason

Abstract

During the polarographic studies of technetium it became necessary to determine the diffusion coefficient of $TcO_{\downarrow\downarrow}$ ion. In order to calculate the number of electrons involved in the polarographic reduction process by means of the Ilkovic equation (id = 607 n D C m t) the diffusion coefficient (D) must be known. Therefore specific conductance measurements of $KReO_{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $KTcO_{\downarrow\downarrow}$ solutions were made. From these data the diffusion coefficient of $ReO_{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $TcO_{\downarrow\downarrow}$ ions were calculated.

NOTICE

This document contains information of a preliminary nature and was prepared primarily for internal use at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report. The information is not to be abstracted, reprinted or otherwise given public dissemination without the approval of the ORNL patent branch, Legal and Information Control Department.

Discussion

At infinite dilution, the equivalent conductance and diffusion coefficient of an ion are related by an equation derived by Nerst. This equation as given by Meites and Thomas (1) is as follows:

$$D^{\circ} = \frac{RT}{zF^2y} \lambda^{\circ} = 2.67 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\lambda^{\circ}}{z}$$

where R = molar gas constant

T = absolute temperature

Fy = Faraday

z = charge on the ion (valence)

 λ° = equivalent conductance at infinite dilution

Therefore if the equivalent conductance at infinite dilution and the charge (valence) of an ion are known the diffusion coefficient can be calculated. Lingane (2) has calculated the diffusion coefficient of ReO_4 ion to be 1.37 x 10^{-5} cm²/sec from his conductivity measurements. We have repeated his measurements and the specific conductance of solutions of KReO_4 and KTcO_4 were measured and the equivalent conductance calculated. The equivalent conductances at various concentrations were plotted versus the square root of the concentration on rectilinear graph paper. The equivalent conductance at infinite dilution was obtained by extrapolation of these straight line points to essentially zero concentration.

The values for the equivalent conductance were inserted in the Nerst equation and the diffusion coefficient for both ${\rm ReO}_{\downarrow\downarrow}^-$ and ${\rm TcO}_{\downarrow\downarrow}^-$ ions were calculated.

Experimental

Apparatus	Serfass Conductivity Bridge Model RCM-15 Conductivity			
and	Cell - medium Conductivity type No. 9-367 (Fisher			
Materials	Scientific Company)			
	Distilled water - conductivity 1.2 x 10 ⁻⁶ ohms ⁻¹ /cm			
	KTcO ₁₄ - Pure salt obtained from R. H. Busey			
	KReO ₁₄ - Reagent grade - A. D. Mackay Inc. (New York)			
	KCl - Reagent grade - Bakers analyzed			

The conductivity cell was calibrated by measuring the conductance of a 0.0200 molar KCl solution. The cell constant of 0.73 was obtained from these measurements. All conductance measurements were made at 25° C.

A standard solution of KReO $_4$ was made by weighing a portion of the salt and dissolving in distilled water. Aliquots of this solution were diluted with distilled water to give the following concentrations: 0.00125, 0.0025, 0.005, and 0.01 molar KReO $_4$. The specific conductance of these solutions was measured and the data are shown in Table I.

Table I Specific Conductance of KReO_{l_1} Solutions

Concentration molarity of KReO _{l₁}	Conductance (meter reading) micro ohms-1	Cell Constant	Specific Conductance micro ohms 1/cm
0.01	1650	0.73	1204
0.005	840	0.73	610
0.0025	430	0.73	310
0.00125	220	0.73	160

The equivalent conductance (specific conductance x volume (in cc) for 1 gram equivalent) was calculated for these solutions and found to be 120, 122, 124, and 128 reciprocal ohms (ohms⁻¹/cm²) respectively. These values were plotted versus the square root of concentration. On extrapolation to infinite dilution the equivalent conductance of KReO₁ was found to be 130 ohms⁻¹/cm². Correcting for equivalent conductance of the potassium ion (74.5 ohm⁻/cm² Chemistry Handbook Value) the equivalent conductance for ReO₁ ion was found to be 55.5 ohms⁻¹/cm² at 25° C. From the Nerst equation: Diffusion Coefficient (D°) = $\frac{\text{RT}}{2\text{F}^2\text{Y}}$ = 2.67 x 10⁻⁷ x $\frac{55.1}{1}$ = 1.47 x 10⁻⁵ cm²/sec of ReO₁.

This value is somewhat higher than Lingage's value who obtained the

This value is somewhat higher than Lingane's value who obtained the equivalent conductance of 51.3 ohms⁻¹/cm² for ReO_{μ} ion. He calculated the diffusion coefficient to be 1.37 x 10⁻⁵ cm²/sec.

Table II Specific Conductance of $\mathrm{KTcO}_{\mathrm{l}}$ Solutions

Concentration molarity of KTcO _{li}	Conductance (meter reading) micro ohms ⁻¹	Cell Constant	Specific Conductance micro ohms /cm
0.00438	695	0.73	507
0.00219	330	0.73	241
0.00109	170	0.73	124

The equivalent conductance was calculated from these data and found to be 116, 120, and 129 ohms $^{-1}/\text{cm}^2$ respectively. These values were plotted

versus the square root of concentration. By extrapolation to infinite dilution the equivalent conductance of ${\rm KTcO_4}$ was found to be 133 ohms $^{-1}/{\rm cm}^2$. Correcting for the equivalent conductance of potassium ion (74.5) ohms $^{-1}/{\rm cm}^2$) the equivalent conductance for ${\rm TcO_4}^-$ ion was found to be 58.5 ohms $^-/{\rm cm}^2$ at 25°C. From the Nerst equation the diffusion coefficient was calculated to be 1.56 x 10^{-5} cm $^2/{\rm sec}$.

It will be desirable to repeat these measurements when more KTcO_{l_1} becomes available as the equivalent conductance values do not fall exactly on a straight line. However, as the polarographic diffusion current varies only with the square root of the diffusion coefficient an error of 10% in the value is not critical for use in the Ilkovic equation. More precise conductivity measuring equipment also would be desirable when these measurements are repeated.

The n value for the polarographic reduction of $\text{TcO}_{\frac{1}{4}}^{-}$ ion in phosphate buffer solution of pH 7.0 was calculated from the Ilkovic equation.

id = 607 n D^{1/2} C m^{2/3} t^{1/6}
= 0.313
$$\mu$$
amp = 607 x 1.74 x 10⁻² x $\sqrt{1.56 \times 10^{-5}}$ x 2.33n n = 3.2

From these calculations it might be assumed that the reduction could take place as follows:

$$\text{TcO}_{l_{\downarrow}}^{-}$$
 + 3e \rightarrow Tc^{+l_{\downarrow}} (E 1/2 = 0.68 v vs S.C.E.)
References

- l. Louis Meites and Henry C. Thomas "Advanced Analytical Chemistry", page 155 McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1958.
 - 2. J. J. Lingane, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 64, 1001-1007, (1942).

Distribution

- 1. R. E. Biggers
- 2. E. L. Blevins
- 3. G. E. Boyd
- 4. R. H. Busey
- 5. L. T. Corbin
- 6. D. Costanzo
- 7. B. B. Hobbs
- 8. A. D. Horton
- 9. M. T. Kelley
- 10. H. Kubota
- 11. Q. V. Larson
- 12. F. J. Miller
- 13. H. Parker
- 14. H. P. Raaen
- 15. R. R. Rickard
- 16. W. D. Shults
- 17. C. D. Susano
- 18. C.C. White
- 19. E. I. Wyatt
- 20. H. E. Zittel
- 21 30. Laboratory Records